STATE OF MAINE

KENNEBEC, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION DOCKET NO. CV-97-134

STATE OF MAINE, ex rel. G. STEVEN ROWE,

Plaintiff

v.

DECISION AND ORDER

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants

This matter is before the court on the State's motion to enforce a December 3, 1998 Consent Decree against the defendant. After weighing the evidence submitted during trial and reviewing all submitted memoranda, the court renders the following decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT

In the mid-1990's, Maine and a number of other states brought suit against the largest cigarette companies in the United States, including the defendant, R.J. Reynolds (Reynolds). Rather than litigate, the four major companies, including Reynolds, and the Attorneys General of forty-six states and six territories, including Maine, signed a Master Settlement Agreement (MSA) in November of 1998. (Def.'s Ex. 103 (MSA).) The MSA was implemented through a Consent Decree, and the court, through the Consent Decree, retained jurisdiction. (Def.'s Ex. 104 (Consent Decree).) The current dispute arises primarily from a Reynolds advertisement placed in the November 15, 2007, 40th Anniversary issue of *Rolling Stone* magazine.

¹ On 5/20/08, this court DENIED the defendant's motion to dismiss the enforcement action. By Order dated 9/5/08, this court GRANTED defendant's motion to strike the state's request for civil sanctions.

I. Reynolds' "Camel Farm" Advertisement in Rolling Stone Magazine

Reynolds purchased a four-page gatefold advertisement in the November 15, 2007, 40th Anniversary issue of *Rolling Stone*. (See State's Ex. 6.) The gatefold advertisement contained four pages of Reynolds advertising and five pages of *Rolling Stone* editorial content, arranged in the following manner: a lead-in page of advertising was followed by a page of editorial content; the editorial content was then followed by two opposing pages of advertising, which opened to four pages of editorial content; this was followed by one page of lead-out advertising. (Id.; Stipulation ¶ 18.) Both the Reynolds advertisement itself and the circumstances surrounding the placement of the advertisement relative to the *Rolling Stone* editorial content are material to the resolution of this dispute.

a. Reynolds' Camel Farm Advertisement

Reynolds worked with Kaart Marketing to create the Camel Farm advertisement. (See M. Weinstock Dep. at 33:12-32; 69:16-23.) The advertisement was based on a preexisting Camel Farm creative platform Reynolds used to promote its Camel Farm campaign, through which Reynolds supports independent rock labels and bands. (State's Ex. 8; M. Weinstock Dep. at 43:19-45:13.) The Camel Farm imagery has been in the public domain since mid-2006. (M. Weinstock Dep. at 107:2-15.) Reynolds gatefold advertisement used a collection of photographs to display images including: (1) a red tractor with film reels for wheels and a film projector for an engine; (2) radios, speakers and television sets growing from the ground; (3) flying radios with propellers; and (4) an eagle carrying a mirror from which protrudes a disembodied hand. (State's Ex. 6.) *Rolling Stone* had no involvement in the creation of the Camel Farm advertisement.

b. Intertwinement With Rolling Stone's Editorial Content

Rolling Stone commissioned Benjamin Marra to prepare the graphics for the five pages of editorial content. (Stipulation ¶ 19.) Entitled "Indie Rock Universe," the editorial content consists of five pages grouping and cataloging independent rock music labels and bands, accompanied by hand-drawn illustrations. (State's Ex. 6.) These illustrations depict UFOs, a rocket-powered guitar, stylized planets, a guitar-playing robot, a headless, armless bagpiper, and an "animal plant," among others things. (Id.) The Rolling Stone editorial does not refer to tobacco products, Reynolds, the Camel brand, or the Camel Farm campaign. (Id.)

Reynolds purchased the gatefold advertisement as a "high impact" unit, which is designed to increase the odds of the advertisement being seen. Reynolds was aware that their gatefold advertisement would open up to a *Rolling Stone* editorial relating to independent music, and was attempting to connect its brand with independent music through the advertisement. However, neither Reynolds, nor any Reynolds' employee or agent, was involved in the development, creation, or execution of the editorial content. (Stipulation ¶ 21.) Moreover, neither Reynolds, nor any Reynolds' employee or agent, previewed the editorial content prior to the printing of the magazine. (Stipulation ¶ 20.) Other than an awareness that *Rolling Stone*'s editorial would concern independent music, Reynolds had no knowledge or involvement in the substantive or stylistic content of the editorial. (See Stipulation ¶ 22-23.) This "separation" between advertising and editorial decision-making is standard industry practice.

At a 5/17/07 meeting, *Rolling Stone* showed Reynolds a copy of a gatefold in the May 3 issue, the first of three planned 40th Anniversary issues. The gatefold included an advertisement for Patron brand tequila, run adjacent to a *Rolling Stone* editorial consisting of traditional typed text and photographs. (Brewer Dep. at 41:9-42:14; Hecht Dep. at 55:17-57:24; Brown Dep. at 98:16-100:11; <u>see</u> State's Ex. 60.) Based on this

example and statements made at the meeting, Reynolds' representatives at the meeting believed that the November 15 gatefold would look similar. (See, e.g., Brewer Dep. at 50:1-14.) Reynolds did not indicate the "Cartoon" ban in its Insertion Order² or otherwise inform *Rolling Stone* about the "Cartoon" ban.

In a letter, following the receipt of an 11/21/07 letter from two state Attorneys General expressing concern about the Camel Farm advertisement in the November 15th issue of *Rolling Stone*, Reynolds admitted that it was "surprised and concerned" when the issue was published, and believed the juxtaposition of the Camel Farm advertising with the gatefold was "unfortunate." (Def.'s Ex. 108.)

II. Reynolds' "Farm Rocks" Website

Readers of the *Rolling Stone* gatefold advertisement were invited to visit the "Farm Rocks" age-restricted website. (State's Ex. 6.) The website includes the same type of photographic montage as the Camel Farm gatefold advertisement and includes, among other images: (1) a photo image of duck with a bird perched on its head; (2) an eagle perched on a blindfolded man's head; and (3) a jet-propelled tractor. (State's Ex. 113.) To date, the State has not received any complaints from Maine residents regarding the "Farm Rocks" website. (Stipulation ¶ 27.)

III. The Current Action

On 12/4/07, the State moved to enforce the Consent Decree against Reynolds, asserting that the *Rolling Stone* advertisement and "Farm Rocks" website violated the "Cartoon" provision of the Consent Decree.

DISCUSSION

² Reynolds' Insertion Order constitutes the "positioning guidelines" for its advertising. Among other requirements, the Insertion Order directs *Rolling Stone* not to place the Camel Farm advertisement next to "antithetical editorial." (State's Ex. 1.)

The State, pursuant to 4 M.R.S. §§ 105 and 114, seeks to enforce the Consent Decree against the defendant. Reynolds argues that this proceeding constitutes an action for contempt, requiring the state to prove by "clear and convincing evidence" that Reynolds violated the terms of the Consent Decree. See State v. Shattuck, 2000 ME 38, ¶¶ 17-18, 747 A.2d 174, 179 (explaining that once the court signs a consent decree, it becomes a judgment of the court, "subject to the rules generally applicable to other judgments and decrees"); Land Use Regulation Comm'n v. Tuck, 490 A.2d 649, 652 (Me. 1985) (applying law of civil contempt in an action alleging violations of a consent order).³

Although the State concedes that contempt actions are *one way* that consent decrees may be enforced, the State argues that the Consent Decree itself explicitly authorizes the motion to enforce: "The State and/or any Participating Manufacturer may apply to the Court at any time for further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the implementation and enforcement of this Consent Decree and Final Judgment." (Def.'s Ex. 104 (Consent Decree § VI.A).) Because, as discussed below, the court finds that the State cannot meet its burden to prove by even a preponderance of the evidence⁴ that Reynolds violated the Consent Decree, the court need not determine whether the higher "clear and convincing evidence" standard applies.

³ In order to find a party in contempt, the court must find, by clear and convincing evidence, that: "(i) the alleged contemnor has failed or refused to perform an act required or continues to do an act prohibited by a court order, and (ii) it is within the alleged contemnor's power to perform the act required or cease performance of the act prohibited." M.R. Civ. P. 66(d)(2)(D); White v. Nason, 2005 ME 73, ¶ 7, 874 A.2d 891, 893. "Evidence is clear and convincing when 'the required factual findings were proved to be highly probable." Id. (quoting Shrader-Miller v. Miller, 2004 ME 117, ¶ 20, 855 A.2d 1139, 1145). Before a party may be held in contempt for violating a court order, "the order should inform him in definite terms as to the duties thereby imposed upon him." Banker v. Bath Iron Works Corp., 507 A.2d 602, 604 (Me. 1986).

⁴ See Jacobs v. Jacobs, 507 A.2d 596, 599 (Me. 1986) ("The standard of proof in a civil case between two private parties is ordinarily preponderance of the evidence, a rule that is departed from only in those rare circumstances where a higher standard of proof is clearly justified for constitutional or other significant policy reasons.").

The Consent Decree provides, in pertinent part,⁵ that Reynolds is permanently enjoined from "using or causing to be used within the State of Maine any Cartoon in the advertising, promoting, packing or labeling of Tobacco Products." (Def.'s Ex. 104 (Consent Decree § V.B.).) For purposes of interpreting the Consent Decree, "Cartoon" means:

any drawing or other depiction of an object, person, animal, creature or any similar caricature that satisfies any of the following criteria:

- (1) the use of comically exaggerated features;
- (2) the attribution of human characteristics to animals, plants or other objects, or the similar use of anthropomorphic technique; or
- (3) the attribution of unnatural or extrahuman abilities, such as imperviousness to pain or injury, X-ray vision, tunneling at very high speeds or transformation.

The term "Cartoon" includes "Joe Camel," (State's Ex. 109.)

The State makes two claims. First, the State argues that the Camel Farm imagery used in Reynolds' four-page Camel Farm advertisement, as well as on the related "Farm Rocks" website, contained Cartoons. Second, the State argues that Reynolds violated the Cartoon prohibition because *Rolling Stone's* five-page "Indie Rock Universe" editorial contained Cartoons. Neither of the State's arguments have merit.

I. Reynolds' Camel Farm Imagery Is Not A "Cartoon"

None of the imagery contained in the Camel Farm creative platform, including the Camel Farm advertisement in November 15th issue of *Rolling Stone* and the "Farm Rocks" website, falls within the MSA definition of "Cartoon." Generally, the Camel Farm imagery employs surreal, somewhat simplistic, unusual, unrealistic photo-collage

⁵ The State does not contend that the Camel Farm advertisement or the "Farm Rocks" website violates any provision of the MSA/Consent Decree other than the prohibition of "Cartoons." (Stipulation ¶¶ 7-8.)

style imagery. These images are far different from the animated Joe Camel, a more traditional cartoon of the type holding a particular appeal for children. Although the definition of "Cartoon" is not restricted to the Joe Camel type-image, interpreting the definition of "Cartoon" without reference to the concerns of the parties involved disregards common sense and established legal principles. See Shattuck, 2000 ME 38, ¶ 18, 747 A.2d at 179 (noting contractual nature of consent decrees); Baybutt Constr. Corp. v. Commercial Union Ins. Co., 455 A.2d 914, 919 (Me. 1983) (following the "long established rule of law in this State that the paramount principle in the construction of contracts is to give effect to the intention of the parties as gathered from the language of the agreement viewed in the light of all the circumstances under which it was made.").

Given this general, underlying interpretive construction, the Camel Farm imagery does not meet any of the three definitions of "Cartoon" set forth in the MSA. None of the images contain "comically exaggerated features" or attribute "human characteristics to animals, plants or other objects" in any manner similar to the prototypical Joe Camel. Nor do any of the images involve the "attribution of unnatural or extrahuman abilities, such as imperviousness to pain or injury, X-ray vision, tunneling at very high speeds or transformation." (State's Ex. 109.) While the list of attributes in this definition is not exclusive, they are instructive, like the example of Joe Camel, in defining the boundaries of the definition. See Penobscot Nation v. Stilphen, 461 A.2d 478, 489 (Me. 1983) (applying the cannon of ejusdem generis, which states that "a general term followed by a list of illustrations is ordinarily assumed to embrace only concepts similar to those illustration"). None of the Camel Farm imagery involves any of the "super-hero" like powers embraced within this definition. Finally, because

Reynolds used the same type of images on its "Farm Rocks" website, the website similarly does not violate the Consent Decree's Cartoon ban. ⁶

II. Reynolds Did Not Violate The "Cartoon" Prohibition Because of Rolling Stone's Editorial Content

As an initial matter, although the Rolling Stone editorial content, "Indie Rock Universe," obviously contains Cartoons, the editorial itself plainly does not "advertis[e] . . . Tobacco Products." The editorial does not refer to Reynolds or any arguably tobacco-related product. Instead, the State argues that Reynolds chose to avail itself of the content of the editorial by purchasing advertising inextricably physically and thematically intertwined with the editorial content. Accordingly, the State argues, Reynolds "used and caused to be used" Cartoons in the advertising of its products. The court cannot agree. Although Reynolds sought to establish a synergy with Rolling Stone and emphasize its support of independent music, it did not "use" or "cause to be used" the Cartoons in the Rolling Stone editorial. The MSA Cartoon ban employs two active verbs, prohibiting Reynolds from "using" Cartoons or "causing" Cartoons to be used in advertising tobacco products. This language prohibits Reynolds from engaging in affirmative conduct. See McCready v. eBay, Inc., 453 F.3d 882, 889 ("To be a 'debt collector' under the FDCPA entails engaging in some affirmative conduct with regard to collecting a debt, as evidenced by the statute's use of active verbs."); Washington v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., No. 96-2-15056-8 SEA, at *5 (Wash. Super. Ct., June 2, 2008) (reasoning that "using" and "causing" are active verbs and the "Consent Decree's agreed language thus must be read to prohibit RJR from certain affirmative conduct"). Regarding Reynolds' role in the Rolling Stone editorial content, at most, Reynolds had

⁶ The court also notes that, thus far, both MSA courts addressing this issue determined that none of the Camel Farm imagery fell within the definition of "Cartoon." <u>See Ohio v. R.I. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</u>, No. 97 CVH 05-5114, at *25-27 (Ohio Ct. of Common Pleas, July 30, 2008); <u>Washington v. R.I. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</u>, No. 96-2-15056-8 SEA, at *4 (Wash. Super. Ct., June 2, 2008).

knowledge, and desired, that the enclosed editorial content would address independent music. Beyond this, however, given the separation between editorial and advertising departments at *Rolling Stone*, Reynolds did not, and indeed could not, know the editorial content would contain Cartoons. Indeed, the witness testimony in this case demonstrated Reynolds' lack of control regarding the placement of their advertising and, more importantly, the editorial content with which it appeared. Witnesses testified that it was standard industry practice for an advertiser such as Reynolds to be in the dark as to the editorial content that would appear with its advertising. Without any involvement in or knowledge of the stylistic content in the *Rolling Stone* editorial, Reynolds cannot be said to have been "using" Cartoons or "causing" them to be used.

On the other hand, Reynolds did have "some control" over the positioning of its advertisement, evidenced by its Insertion Order prohibiting the placement of its advertisement next to "antithetical editorial." An MSA court in Ohio, in imposing a duty upon Reynolds to take reasonable steps to prevent the use of Cartoons in its advertising, noted that Reynolds could have inserted a provision in its Insertion Order requesting that no Cartoons be used in the editorial content within its gatefold advertisement. See Ohio v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., No. 97 CVH 05-5114, at *29-31 (Ohio Ct. of Common Pleas, July 30, 2008).

Nevertheless, the facts in this case establish that Reynolds was shown examples of previous *Rolling Stone* gatefolds, including editorial content, which employed only traditional text and photographs. Given what Reynolds knew and reasonably expected at the time, the court cannot view Reynolds failure to specifically request that the *Rolling Stone* editorial content not contain Cartoons as "causing" Cartoons to be used in advertising tobacco products. <u>See Washington v. R.I. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</u>, No. 96-2-15056-8 SEA, at *7. Even applying a negligence standard, Reynolds was not

unreasonable in assuming that the gatefold would be similar to the examples they were shown.

Accordingly, the court concludes that Reynolds has not violated the terms of the Consent Decree by "using or causing to be used within the State of Maine any Cartoon in the advertising, promoting, packing or labeling of Tobacco Products." Because Reynolds did not violate the Consent Decree, the State is not entitled to any of the remedies requested.

The entry is:

The State of Maine's Motion to Enforce Consent Decree is hereby denied.

January <u>A</u>, 2009

Justice Joseph Jabar

Attorney for State of Maine Jennifer Willis Christopher Taub Office of Attorney General 6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Attorney for Defendant John Paterson P.O. Box 9729 Portland, ME 04104-5029

Geoffrey Beach 51 Louisiana Ave N.W. Washington, DC 20001-2113 STATE OF MAINE - PLAINTIFF

Attorney for: STATE OF MAINE

JENNIFER ANN WILLIS - RETAINED 07/17/2008

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

6 STATE HOUSE STATION

AUGUSTA ME 04333-0006

COMMISSIONER OF DHHS - PLAINTIFF

Attorney for: COMMISSIONER OF DHHS
CHRISTOPHER C TAUB - RETAINED 04/19/2006
ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OF AG
111 SEWALL STREET
6 STATE HOUSE STATION
AUGUSTA ME 04333-0006

VS PHILIP MORRIS INC - DEFENDANT

Attorney for: PHILIP MORRIS INC JOHN LAMBERT - RETAINED LAMBERT COFFIN RUDMAN HOCHMAN 477 CONGRESS STREET 14TH FLOOR PO BOX 15215 PORTLAND ME 04112-5215

R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC. - DEFENDANT

Attorney for: R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.
JOHN PATERSON - RETAINED
BERNSTEIN SHUR SAWYER & NELSON
100 MIDDLE ST
PO BOX 9729
PORTLAND ME 04104-5029

LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY - DEFENDANT

Attorney for: LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
CHARLES HARVEY - RETAINED
HARVEY & FRANK
PO BOX 126
PORTLAND ME 04112-0126

Attorney for: LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY ROBERT FRANK - RETAINED HARVEY & FRANK

PO BOX 126
PORTLAND ME 04112-0126

COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC. - DEFENDANT

Page 1 of 15

SUPERIOR COURT KENNEBEC, ss. Docket No AUGSC-CV-1997-00134

DOCKET RECORD

Attorney for: COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.
ROBERT GALLO - RETAINED
MCNEILL & TAYLOR PA
PO BOX 815
180 LOCUST STREET
DOVER NH 03821-0815

LIGGETT GROUP LLC - DEFENDANT

,

Attorney for: LIGGETT GROUP LLC ROBERT GALLO - RETAINED MCNEILL & TAYLOR PA

MCNEILL & TAYLOR PA PO BOX 815

180 LOCUST STREET

DOVER NH 03821-0815

SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC. - DEFENDANT

Attorney for: SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.

ROBERT GALLO - RETAINED

MCNEILL & TAYLOR PA

PO BOX 815

180 LOCUST STREET

DOVER NH 03821-0815

Filing Document: COMPLAINT Minor Case Type: PRODUCT LIABILITY

Filing Date: 09/17/1997

Docket Events:

04/27/2006 FILING DOCUMENT - COMPLAINT FILED ON 09/17/1997

NOTE - PRIOR ENTRIES IN MANUAL DOCKET ENTERED ON 09/17/1997

04/27/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

ATTORNEY - RETAINED ENTERED ON 04/27/2006

04/27/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC

ATTORNEY - RETAINED ENTERED ON 04/27/2006

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

04/27/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 04/26/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

REQUEST FOR HEARING NEEDED FOR PREVIOUS FILING OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT.

05/01/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

MOTION - OTHER MOTION FILED ON 04/19/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT WITH INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM O F LAW, FILED.

05/01/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

MOTION - MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FILED ON 04/19/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

Page 2 of 15 Printed on: 01/23/2009

MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL PAGES WITH INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW, FILED.

- 05/11/2006 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.
 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 05/10/2006
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.
 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 05/10/2006
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 05/10/2006
 Defendant's Attorney: CHARLES HARVEY
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 05/10/2006
 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT FRANK
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY

 MOTION MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FILED ON 05/10/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

 S/ROBERT FRANK, ESQ. MOTION FOR

 LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN EXCESS OF APPLICABLE PAGE LIMITS, PROPOSED ORDER AND

 REOUEST FOR HEARING
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY

 MOTION MOTION TO COMPEL FILED ON 05/10/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

 S/FRANK, ESQ.

 DEFENDANT ORI

 GINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION, PROPOSED ORDER AND

 REQUEST FOR HEARING
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
 OTHER FILING OPPOSING MEMORANDUM FILED ON 05/10/2006
 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT
 S/FRANK, ESQ.

 DEFENDANT
 ORIGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' MEMORANDUM: (1) IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
 FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; AND (2) IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION
 AND AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN B. WILLIAMS WITH SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (IN FOLDER)
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.

 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 05/10/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): LIGGETT GROUP LLC

 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 05/10/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.
 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 05/10/2006
 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO
- 05/11/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.,LIGGETT GROUP LLC,SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.
 OTHER FILING ENTRY OF APPEARANCE FILED ON 05/10/2006
 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO

Page 3 of 15 Printed on: 01/23/2009

05/11/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.,LIGGETT GROUP LLC,SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.

MOTION - MOTION TO COMPEL FILED ON 05/10/2006

Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO

SUBSEQUENT PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' JOINDER IN ORIGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND APPENDIX TO SUBSEQUENT PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' JOINDER IN ORIGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND PROPOSED ORDER

05/11/2006 Party(s): COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

ATTORNEY - RETAINED ENTERED ON 04/19/2006 Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

05/15/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

LETTER - FROM PARTY FILED ON 05/15/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: PETER LAFOND

LETTER INFORMING THE COURT THE STATE HAS NO OBJECTION TO THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCEED APPLICABLE PAGE LIMITS.

05/15/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

MOTION - MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FILED ON 05/15/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: PETER LAFOND TO FILE A REPLY WITH PROPOSED ORDER

05/23/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

MOTION - MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME GRANTED ON 05/17/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

IT IS HEREBY

ORDERED: PLAINTIFF STATE OF MAINE'S REPLY BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION IS EXTENDED UNTIL MAY 24, 2006

05/25/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

OTHER FILING - OPPOSING MEMORANDUM FILED ON 05/24/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: PETER LAFOND

PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND IN REPLY TO DEFENDANTS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND AFFIDAVIT OF LAURIE NELSON.

05/25/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

MOTION - OTHER MOTION FILED ON 05/24/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: PETER LAFOND

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN EXCESS OF APPLIC ABLE PAGE LIMITS WITH PROPOSED ORDER.

05/26/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

LETTER - FROM PARTY FILED ON 05/26/2006

Defendant's Attorney: PETER LAFOND

LETTER OF DEFS. STATING THEY HAVE NO OBJECTION TO PLTS. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN EXCESS OF APPLICABLE PAGE LIMITS, THAT WAS FILED ON 05-24-06.

05/26/2006 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 05/26/2006

FOR DEF. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO

Page 4 of 15

DOCKET RECORD

- 05/26/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
 MOTION MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME GRANTED ON 05/24/2006
 S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE
 COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL
- 05/26/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

 MOTION MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME GRANTED ON 05/24/2006

 S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

 COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL
- 05/26/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY

 MOTION MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FILED ON 05/26/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT FRANK

 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM WITH INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW

 AND PROPOSED ORDER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING
- 05/30/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.,LIGGETT GROUP LLC,SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.

 MOTION MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FILED ON 05/30/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO

 ASSENTED TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM AND INCORPORATED

 MEMORANDUM OF LAW WITH PROPOSED ORDER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING FILED BY DEFS.

 MANUFACTURERS COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC, LIGGETT GROUP LLC AND SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C.

 INC.
- 06/01/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC., LIGGETT GROUP LLC, SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.
 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 06/01/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO

 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT J. BROOKHISER, ESQ. ON SUPPORT OF MOTION PRO
 HAC VICE AND PROPOSED ORDER.
- 06/01/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC., LIGGETT GROUP LLC, SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 06/01/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO

 AFFIDAVIT OF ELIZABETH B. MCCALLUM, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF MOTION PRO HAC VICE PROPOSED ORDER
 AND REQUEST FOR HEARING.
- 06/01/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY

 MOTION MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME GRANTED ON 05/30/2006

 S KIRK STUDSTRUP, JUSTICE

 TIME EXTENDED TO 6/7/06 TO FILE REPLY TO MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION. COPIES MAILED TO ATTYS.
- 06/01/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.,LIGGETT GROUP LLC,SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.

 MOTION MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME GRANTED ON 05/30/2006

 DONALD H MARDEN , JUSTICE

 COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

 COMMONWEALTH BRANDS INC., LIGGETT GROUP LLC AND SHERMAN'S TIME TO FILE REPLY EXTENDED TO 6/8/06.
- 06/05/2006 Party(s): LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 06/05/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: CHARLES HARVEY

Page 5 of 15 Printed on: 01/23/2009

TO ADMIT PENNY P. REID, NOTICE OF MOTION, AFFIDAVIT OF PENNY P. REID, PROPOSED ORDER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING.

06/05/2006 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT, ATTY GRANTED ON 06/01/2006

DONALD H MARDEN , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

WILLIAMS IS ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE.

JOHN B.

06/05/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE.COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

MOTION - OTHER MOTION GRANTED ON 06/01/2006

DONALD H MARDEN , JUSTICE

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN EXCESS OF APPLIC ABLE PAGE LIMITS WITH PROPOSED ORDER.

PAGE LIMITED EXTENDED TO 27 PAGES. COPIES

MAILED TO ATTYS.

06/05/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC., LIGGETT GROUP LLC, SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.

MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 06/02/2006

DONALD H MARDEN , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

OWNTORD FROM TOWNTON DO VING VINGE

BROOKHISER, ESQ. ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE.

06/05/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC., LIGGETT GROUP LLC, SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC.

MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 06/02/2006

DONALD H MARDEN , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

ELIZABETH

ROBERT

06/08/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
OTHER FILING - REPLY MEMORANDUM FILED ON 06/07/2006

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

MCCALLUM, ESQ. ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE

S/ROBERT FRANK, ESO.

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION, SECOND AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN B. WILLIAMS WITH ATTACHED DOCUMENTS P-Y

06/08/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

S/ROBERT FRANK, ESQ.

MOTION FOR

LEAVE TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM IN EXCESS OF APPLICABLE PAGE LIMTS, REQUEST FOR HEARING AND PROPOSED ORDER.

06/09/2006 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

OTHER FILING - AFFIDAVIT FILED ON 06/08/2006

MOTION - MOTION FOR LEAVE FILED ON 06/07/2006

S/JOHN B WILLIAMS

06/09/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

OTHER FILING - REPLY MEMORANDUM FILED ON 06/09/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

PLTS. RESPONSE TO DEFS. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY BRIEF IN EXCESS OF APPLICABLE PAGE LIMITS.

06/14/2006 Party(s): LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY

MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 06/13/2006

NANCY MILLS , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

ESQ. ADMITTED.

PENNY REID,

06/14/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY MOTION - MOTION FOR LEAVE GRANTED ON 06/14/2006

NANCY MILLS , JUSTICE

DEFENDANTS GIVEN LEAVE TO FILE 27 PAGE REPLY BRIEF.

06/21/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

OTHER FILING - OPPOSING MEMORANDUM FILED ON 06/21/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

PLTS. SUR-REPLY BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFS. MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND IN SUPPORT OF PLTS. MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT.

07/17/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC, R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 07/17/2006

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

S/CHARLES HARVEY, ESQ.

DEFENDANT OR

IGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS'NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION.

07/24/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

OTHER FILING - OPPOSING MEMORANDUM FILED ON 07/24/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

PLTS. NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFS. MOTION TO COMPEL ARRITRATION

07/28/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 07/28/2006

Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT FRANK

DEF. ORIGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' SECOND NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

08/29/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

LETTER - FROM PARTY FILED ON 08/29/2006

Plaintiff's Attorney: PETER LAFOND

REQUEST HEARING SCHEDULED FOR 9/6/06 BE RECORDED.

09/01/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 08/31/2006

DEFENDANT ORIGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS' THIRD NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION. S/LAMBERT, ESQ. S/HARVEY, ESQ. (ATTACHMENTS 1-9)

09/01/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC

MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 09/01/2006

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

WITH PROPOSED ORDER AND CERTIFICATE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE OF THOMAS FREDERICK, ESQ.

09/15/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 09/15/2006

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN LAMBERT

DEFENDANT ORIGINAL PARITCIPATING MANUFACTURERS' FOURTH NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

09/20/2006 HEARING - OTHER MOTION HELD ON 09/06/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

HEARING HELD ON PENDING MOTIONS. TAPE #2 INDEX 003-2658 PETER LAFOND, CHRISTOPHER TAUB, TOM FREDERICK AND ATTY. MCCALL PRESENT FOR ATTYS. ORAL ARGUMENTS MADE TO THE COURT. COURT TO TALK MATTER UNDER ADVISEMENT.

10/04/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC

MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 09/01/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

FREDERICK PERMITTED PRO HAC VICE

THOMAS

10/04/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

MOTION - OTHER MOTION DISMISSED ON 10/03/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT WITH INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM O F LAW, FILED.

10/04/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY MOTION - MOTION TO COMPEL GRANTED ON 10/03/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

SEE DECISION

AND ORDER

10/04/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC., LIGGETT GROUP LLC, SHERMAN 1400 BROADWAY N.Y.C. INC. MOTION - MOTION TO COMPEL GRANTED ON 10/03/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

SEE DECISION

AND ORDER

10/04/2006 FINDING - JUDGMENT DETERMINATION ENTERED ON 10/03/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

DECISION AND ORDER-MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT DISMISSED. MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION IS GRANTED AND ORDERED THAT THE PARTIES SUBMIT THEIR ISSUES TO BINDING ARBITRATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MASTER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. COPIES MAILED TO ATTYS.

ORDER - COURT JUDGMENT ENTERED ON 10/03/2006

S KIRK STUDSTRUP , JUSTICE

DECISION AND ORDER-MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT DISMISSED. MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION IS GRANTED AND ORDERED THAT THE PARTIES SUBMIT THEIR ISSUES TO BINDING ARBITRATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MASTER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. COPIES MAILED TO ATTYS. Judgment entered for PHILIP MORRIS INC and against STATE OF MAINE.

10/04/2006 FINDING - FINAL JUDGMENT CASE CLOSED ON 10/04/2006

10/25/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

APPEAL - NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED ON 10/24/2006

Page 8 of 15

Printed on: 01/23/2009

Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB

- 10/25/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

 APPEAL STATEMENT OF ISSUES FILED ON 10/24/2006

 Plaintiff's Attorney: CHRISTOPHER C TAUB
- 10/25/2006 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

 APPEAL NOTICE OF APPEAL SENT TO LAW COURT ON 10/25/2006

 ATTESTED COPIES OF NOTICE OF APPEAL AND DOCKET SHEETS SENT TO LAW COURT AND ATTORNEYS OF
- 10/27/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
 OTHER FILING TRANSCRIPT ORDER FORM FILED ON 10/27/2006
 Defendant's Attorney: TERESA M CLOUTIER
 ATTESTED COPY MAILED TO JAMES CHUTE, CLERK OF THE LAW COURT.
- 10/27/2006 Party(s): PHILIP MORRIS INC,R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.,LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY
 OTHER FILING TRANSCRIPT ORDER FORM SENT TO REPORTER/ER ON 10/27/2006
 COPY MAILED TO ELECTRONIC RECORDING.
- 11/01/2006 APPEAL RECORD ON APPEAL DUE IN LAW COURT ON 11/01/2006

 NOTICE FROM LAW COURT, FILED. S/CHUTE, CLERK OF THE LAW COURT. CASE TO BE TRANSMITTED NOVEMBER 14, 2006, DOCKET NUMBER ASSIGNED IS KEN-06-665
- 11/01/2006 OTHER FILING OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 11/01/2006
 LETTER REGARDING TRANSCRIPT ORDER, FILED. S/GAYLE KINNEY
- 12/19/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.

 OTHER FILING OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 06/09/2006

 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO

 SUBSEQUENT PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURER'S JOINDER IN ORIGINAL PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS'

 REPLY IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION (ATTACHMENT)
- 12/19/2006 Party(s): COMMONWEALTH BRANDS, INC.
 OTHER FILING OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 08/09/2006
 Defendant's Attorney: ROBERT GALLO
 SUBSEQUENT PARTICIPATING MANUFACTURERS COMPANIA INDUSTRIAL DE TABACOS MONTE PAZ,
 SA, DAUGHTERS & RYAN, INC., HOUSE OF PRINCE A/S, PETER STOKKEBYE A/S, AND VIRGINIA CAROLINA
 CORPORATION, INC.'S ASSENTED TO JOINDER IN THE PENDING MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION,
 FILED.
- 12/19/2006 APPEAL RECORD ON APPEAL SENT TO LAW COURT ON 12/19/2006

 ENTIRE FILE TAKEN TO LAW.

 OF DOCKET SHEETS AND TRANSMITTAL OF EXHIBITS MAILED TO JAMES CHUTE, CLERK OF THE LAW

 COURT.

 COPIES MAILED TO ATTYS. OF RECORD.

 COURT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, FILED. S/CHUTE, CLERK (4/20/07)
- 08/02/2007 APPEAL MANDATE/ORDER FILED ON 08/01/2007 S/JAMES CHUTE, CLERK
- 08/02/2007 APPEAL MANDATE/ORDER DISMISSED ON 08/01/2007
- 08/15/2007 APPEAL RECORD ON APPEAL RECVD FROM LAW COURT ON 10/02/2007 Page 9 of 15

ALL EXHIBIT AND FILES RECEIVED FROM LAW COURT.

12/06/2007 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

MOTION - MOTION TO ENFORCE FILED ON 12/04/2007

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

STATE'S MOTION TO ENFORCE CONSENT DECREE AND FINAL JUDGMENT (ATTACHMENTS) 12/5/07 - 21-DAY NOTICE. S/WILLIS, AAG 12/6/07 - PROPOSED ORDER.

S/WILLIS, AAG

12/19/2007 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FILED ON 12/18/2007

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

RJ REYNOLDS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO ENFORCE CONSENT DECREE.

PROPOSED ORDER.

12/28/2007 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME GRANTED ON 12/19/2007

DONALD H MARDEN , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

ON THE MOTION

OF THE DEFENDANT R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT R.J. REYNOLDS SHALL HAVE UNTIL JANUARY 11, 2008 TO RESPOND TO THE MOTION TO ENFORCE CONSENT DECREE.

01/09/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - OTHER MOTION FILED ON 01/08/2008

Defendant's Attorney: MICHAEL HODGINS

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS

01/11/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - OTHER MOTION GRANTED ON 01/10/2008

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS

TO ATTYS. OF RECORD.

COPIES MAILED

01/15/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

OTHER FILING - OPPOSING MEMORANDUM FILED ON 01/11/2008

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

TO PLAINTIFF STATE OF MAINE'S MOTION TO ENFORCE CONSENT DECREE & FINAL JUDGMENT, AND

DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS

01/22/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

MOTION - MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FILED ON 01/18/2008

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

TO REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO THE STATE'S MOTION TO ENFORCE.

01/28/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

LETTER - FROM PARTY FILED ON 01/25/2008

LETTER FILED BY JENNIFER WILLIS AAG INDICATING THAT DEF RJ REYNOLDS DOES NOT OPPOSE THE STATE'S MOTION FOR ENLGARGMENT OF TIME FILED.

01/30/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

MOTION - MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME GRANTED ON 01/30/2008

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

ON THE MOTION

Printed on: 01/23/2009

Page 10 of 15

OF THE PLAINTIFF STATE OF MAINE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT THE STATE OF MAINE SHALL HAVE UNTIL FEBRUARY 4, 2008 TO REPLY TO R.J. REYNOLDS' OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION TO ENFORCE THE CONSENT DECREE AND TO FILE ITS OPPOSITION TO R.J. REYNOLDS' MOTION TO DISMISS.

02/06/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

OTHER FILING - REPLY MEMORANDUM FILED ON 02/04/2008

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

STATE OF MAINE REPLY MEMORANDUM AND STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS (ATTACHMENTS)

02/07/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - MOTION TO DISMISS FILED ON 01/11/2008

Defendant's Attorney: MICHAEL HODGINS

MOTION TO DISMISS THE STATE'S MOTION TO ENFORCE CONSENT DECREE

02/11/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - OTHER MOTION FILED ON 02/07/2008

Plaintiff's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

MOTION TO LEAVE TO FILE A MEMORANDUM IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMITS WITH PROPOSED ORDER.

02/12/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

OTHER FILING - REPLY MEMORANDUM FILED ON 02/11/2008

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

TO MOTION TO DISMISS

02/12/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

LETTER - FROM PARTY FILED ON 02/11/2008

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

LETTER INFORMING THE COURT THERE IS NO OBJECTION TO MOTION TO EXCEED

02/29/2008 HEARING - MOTION TO ENFORCE SCHEDULED FOR 04/08/2008 @ 9:30 in Room No. 1 MOTION TO DISMISS

03/10/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 03/10/2008

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

DEF RJ RENOLD'S FIRST RQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS SERVED ON AAG JENNIFER WILLIS ON 3/7/08.

04/08/2008 HEARING - MOTION TO ENFORCE NOTICE SENT ON 02/29/2008 COPIES TO ATTYS. OF RECORD.

04/08/2008 HEARING - MOTION TO ENFORCE HELD ON 04/08/2008

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

04/08/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

MOTION - MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER ADVISEMENT ON 04/08/2008

Page 11 of 15

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

- 04/09/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 04/04/2008

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

 MOTION TO ADMIT PETER BIERSTEKER
- 04/09/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 04/04/2008

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

 MOTION TO ADMIT NOEL FRANCISCO
- 05/20/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 05/05/2008

 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

 COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL
- 05/20/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 05/05/2008

 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

 COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL
- 05/20/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO DISMISS DENIED ON 05/20/2008

 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

 COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL
- 05/20/2008 ORDER COURT ORDER ENTERED ON 05/20/2008

 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS IS DENIED.

 PARTIES/COUNSEL

COPIES TO

- 06/19/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 OTHER FILING OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 06/09/2008

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

 NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF STATE OF MAINE SERVED ON JENNIFER WILLIS, AAG ON 6/5/08
- 07/08/2008 HEARING PRETRIAL/STATUS SCHEDULED FOR 08/06/2008 @ 8:30 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE
- 07/08/2008 HEARING PRETRIAL/STATUS NOTICE SENT ON 07/08/2008
- 07/17/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.
 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 07/17/2008
 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON
- 07/17/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE
 ATTORNEY RETAINED ENTERED ON 07/17/2008
 Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS
- 07/17/2008 HEARING PRETRIAL/STATUS SCHEDULED FOR 08/06/2008 @ 8:30 in Room No. 2

Page 12 of 15

07/17/2008 HEARING - PRETRIAL/STATUS NOTICE SENT ON 07/17/2008

- 07/22/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 OTHER FILING OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 07/21/2008

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

 FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF STTE OF MAINE SERVED ON JENNIFER WILLIS, AAG ON 7/16/08.
- 08/04/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS
 LETTER FROM PARTY FILED ON 08/01/2008
 Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS
 LETTER REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUE.
- 08/04/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 LETTER FROM PARTY FILED ON 08/04/2008

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

 LETTER REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES.
- 08/04/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 08/04/2008

 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

 WITH PROPOSED ORDER.
- 08/08/2008 HEARING PRETRIAL/STATUS HELD ON 08/06/2008

 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

 TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ATTYS. OF RECORD. MOTION TO ENFORCE HEARING SET FOR SEPTEMBER
 25,26 AT 8:30.
- 08/11/2008 HEARING PRETRIAL/STATUS HELD ON 08/06/2008 BY PHONE
- 08/11/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

 MOTION MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 08/06/2008

 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

 COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL
- 08/11/2008 ORDER COURT ORDER ENTERED ON 08/07/2008

 JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

 BY AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES A HEARING WILL BE HELD IN KENNEBEC SUPERIOR COURT ON SEPTEMBER
 25 AND 26. THE PARTIES SHALL EXCHANGE WITNESS AND EXHIBITS LISTS BY AUGUST 29, 2008. THE
 PARTIES ALSO AGREED TO WORK OUT SOME DISCOVERT DISPUTES; HOWEVER, IN THE EVENT THE PARTIES
 CANNOT WORK OUT DISPUTES, THEN THE PARTIES SHALL QUICKLY NOTIFIY THE COURT.

 COPIES TO ATTYS. OF RECORD.
- 08/11/2008 HEARING MOTION TO ENFORCE SCHEDULED FOR 09/25/2008 @ 8:30 in Room No. 2
- 08/12/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS
 LETTER FROM PARTY FILED ON 08/11/2008
 Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS
 LETTER REGARDING TRIAL
- 08/14/2008 HEARING OTHER MOTION SCHEDULED FOR 09/02/2008 @ 9:00 in Room No. 2 REQUEST A JURY TRIAL

08/14/2008 HEARING - OTHER MOTION NOTICE SENT ON 08/14/2008 ORAL ARGUMENTS

08/18/2008 HEARING - OTHER MOTION CONTINUED ON 08/18/2008 REQUEST A JURY TRIAL

08/18/2008 HEARING - OTHER MOTION SCHEDULED FOR 09/04/2008 @ 8:15 in Room No. 2
ORAL ARGUMENTS

08/18/2008 HEARING - OTHER MOTION NOTICE SENT ON 08/18/2008 ORAL ARGUMENTS

08/21/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 08/20/2008

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS; PLAINITFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS SERVED ON PETER BIERTEKER, ESQ. AND JOHN PATERSON, ESQ. ON 8/19/08.

09/02/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE

OTHER FILING - OPPOSING MEMORANDUM FILED ON 08/28/2008

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

TO DEFENDANT'A REQUEST TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTED MONETARY SANCTIONS OR FOR A JURY TRIAL

09/02/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.
OTHER FILING - REPLY MEMORANDUM FILED ON 09/02/2008
S/BEACH, ESQ

09/03/2008 MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY FILED ON 09/03/2008 Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON WITH PROPOSED ORDER.

09/04/2008 HEARING - OTHER MOTION HELD ON 09/04/2008

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

ORAL ARGUMENTS

CHRISTOPHER

TAUB, NOEL FRANCISCO, ESQ. AND JEFFREY BEACH, ESQ. TAPE 1017 INDEX 3841-6386

Page 14 of 15

09/05/2008 ORDER - COURT ORDER ENTERED ON 09/05/2008

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

DEFENDANT'A MOTION TO STRIKE THE STATE'S REQUEST FOR CIVIL SANCTIONS IS GRANTED; THE STATE'S REQUEST FOR CIVIL SANCTIONS OF \$100.00 PER ISSUE OF ROLLING STONE MAGAZINE 40TH ANNIVERSARY ISSUE DISTRIBUTED IN MAINE AND FOR REYNOLDS' WEBSITES RELATED TO THE FORM ADVERTISING AND PROMOTION, IS STRIKEN. COPIES TO ATTYS. OF RECORD.

09/05/2008 MOTION - MOTION TO ADMIT VISIT. ATTY GRANTED ON 09/05/2008

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

09/09/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.
OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 09/09/2008

AUGSC-CV-1997-00134

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF BECCA MATUSOVICH AND NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF ROBERT COTT SERVED ON JENNIFER WILLIS, AAG ON 9/8/08.

09/16/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 09/16/2008

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMDISSIONS; DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND DEFENDANT'S ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS SERVED ON JENNIFER WILLIS, ESQ. ON 8/15/08.

09/18/2008 Party(s): STATE OF MAINE, COMMISSIONER OF DHHS

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 09/18/2008

Plaintiff's Attorney: JENNIFER ANN WILLIS

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST TO DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES SERVED ON PETER BIERSTEKER, ESQ AND GEOFFREY BEACH, ESQ. ON 9/15/08. PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND PLIANTIFF'S S ECOND CORRECTED AMENDED EXHIBIT DESIGNATION SERVED ON JOHN PATERSON, ESQ. ON 9/15/08.

09/23/2008 Party(s): R.J.REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.

OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 09/23/2008

Defendant's Attorney: JOHN PATERSON

PRETRIAL BRIEF AND PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSION OF LAW.

09/25/2008 HEARING - MOTION TO ENFORCE HELD ON 09/25/2008

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

ATTYS. OF RECORD. NO COURTROOM CLERK

01/23/2009 ORDER - COURT ORDER ENTERED ON 01/21/2009

JOSEPH M JABAR , JUSTICE

ORDERED INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AT THE SPECIFIC DIRECTION OF THE COURT. COPIES TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

A TRUE COPY	
ATTEST:	
	Clerk