STATE OF MAINE CUMBERLAND, ss.	STATE OF MAINE Cumberland, SS. Clerk's Office		UNIFIED CRIMINAL DOCKET PORTLAND	
	MAR 2 3 20	017	Docket No. CR-16-5565	
STATE OF MAINE	RECĘIVI	ED		
ν.)))		DEFENDANT'S SECOND SUPPRESS STATEMENTS	
STEVEN ROBERTS))		N IN LIMINE FOLLOWING RY HEARING	
Defendant	5			

ić.

By Order dated March 2, 2017, the court granted Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration and agreed to set this matter for an evidentiary hearing on Defendant's pending suppression and *in limine* motions. On March 16, 2017, the court heard oral argument from Assistant District Attorney Carlos Diaz, representing the State, and from Attorney Lawrence Winger, representing Defendant, and heard testimony from paramedic Christopher Desjardins, from Deputy Stephen Welsh, and from Defendant. Defendant filed a Post-Hearing Memorandum on March 17, 2017.

With respect to Defendant's *Miranda*-based challenge, the State must prove the admissibility of Defendant's statements by a preponderance of the evidence. *State v. King*, 2016 ME 54, ¶ 16. Assuming that the scope of the constitutional protections encompassed within the *Miranda* rule even apply to statements made to EMTs, Defendant concedes, as he must, that *Miranda* is not triggered unless Defendant was "in custody" at the time.

The well-established factors for determining whether a defendant was "in custody" are set forth in *State v. King*:

- 1) the locale where the defendant made the statements;
- 2) the party who initiated the contact;
- 3) the existence or non-existence of probable cause to arrest (to the extent communicated to the defendant);
- subjective views, beliefs, or intent that the police manifested to the defendant to the extent they would affect how a reasonable person in the defendant's position would perceive his or her freedom to leave;
- 5) subjective views or beliefs that the defendant manifested to the police, to the extent the officer's response would affect how a reasonable person in the defendant's position would perceive his or her freedom to leave;

- 6) the focus of the investigation (as a reasonable person in the defendant's position would perceive it);
- 7) whether the suspect was questioned in familiar surroundings;
- 8) the number of law enforcement officers present;
- 9) the degree of physical restraint placed upon the suspect; and
- 10) the duration and character of the duration.

State v. King, 2016 ME 54, ¶ 17 (citing State v. Dion, 2007 ME 87, ¶ 23).

Application of these factors to the testimony adduced at hearing yields the following: Defendant spoke to the EMTs while in the driveway of his home. Although the contact was not initiated by Defendant, he was not told that he was under arrest, nor was there any discussion about probable cause or any other law enforcement-related issues. The deputy present at the scene was assisting the paramedic. Defendant testified that both the deputy and the paramedic told him he should go to the hospital, he "felt like he had a choice, but the officer was right, he needed to go." Only Deputy Welsh and paramedic Desjardins were on the scene until Defendant was brought to the ambulance, which is approximately when Sargeant David Hall arrived. Although Attorney Winger argued that the facial pressure used by paramedic Desjardins to stanch Defendant's facial bleeding amounted to "physical restraint", the court finds that Defendant was not in fact physically restrained. The entire encounter lasted 25-30 minutes.

Assuming that "in custody" analysis even applies to statements made to an EMT, the court finds that the evidence adduced at hearing shows that Defendant was not "in custody" at the time he made the statements Defendant seeks to suppress. Because Defendant was not "in custody", *Miranda* warnings were not required. The fact that at the hearing on Defendant's motions the State did not call paramedic Holmquist does not entitle Defendant, as he argues, to suppression of statements made to paramedic Holmquist because the court's finding that Defendant was not "in custody" encompasses the statements made to both paramedics.

With respect to Defendant's "lack of voluntariness" argument, the State bears the burden of proving voluntariness beyond a reasonable doubt, and "[i]n order to find a statement voluntary, it must first be established that it is the result of defendant's exercise of his own free will and rational intellect." *State v. Dion*, 2007 ME 87, ¶ 33. Attorney Winger argues that Defendant's physical state ("he was in shock, he was concussed, he had a broken nose and facial lacerations") rendered his statements involuntary as a matter of law. The court finds, first, that whether Defendant "was in shock" and/or "concussed" was not established by the evidence adduced at trial. Moreover, whether or not Defendant was "in shock" and "concussed", the undisputed evidence establishes that at the time he made the statements he was conscious, oriented, and alert. Based on the evidence, the court finds that the State has proven the voluntariness of Defendant's statements beyond a reasonable doubt.

With respect to Defendant's motion *in limine*, Defendant argues that paramedics should be encompassed within the privilege set forth in Rule 503 of the Maine Rules of Evidence even though the Rule, by its express terms, is limited to communications with "health care professionals" (defined as licensed physicians, licensed physician's assistants, and licensed nurse practitioners), mental health professionals, and licensed counseling professionals. *See* M.R. Evid. 503(a) & (b). Finding that due process does not entitle Defendant to an extension of the privilege set forth in Rule 503, the court declines to extend the privilege to include communications made to paramedics.

Having considered counsel's oral and written argument and the evidence adduced at hearing, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendant's Second Motion to Suppress Statements and Motion in Limine is DENIED in its entirety.

DATED: 22 MAR 17

Jed J. French Judge, Umified Criminal Docket

STATE OF vs STEVEN E 35 JOHNSC GRAY ME	ROBERTS DN RD			CRIMINAL DOCKE CUMBERLAND, ss. Docket No CUMC	D-CR-2016-05565	
UKAT MIS	-432			DOCKE	I RECORD	
DOB: 05/05 Attorney:	/1964 LAWRENCE WINGER LAW OFFICE OF LAWRENC 75 PEARL STREET, SUITE 46 PORTLAND ME 04101 PARTIALLY INDIGENT 09/14	0	State's Attorney:	STEPHANIE ANDERSON		
Filing Docu Filing Date:		NT	Major Case Type	: MISDEMEANOR (CLASS)	D,E)	
Charge(s)						
	NG TO ENDANGER		07	/27/2016 GRAY		
Seq 1232 WELSH	29-A 2413(1)	Class E / CUM				
Docket Eve	nts:					
P	FILING DOCUMENT - CRIMIN	AL COMPLAINT H	FILED ON 09/12/2016			
09/12/2016	Charge(s): 1 HEARING - ARRAIGNMENT S	CHEDULE OTHER	COURT ON 09/14/20	16 at 08:30 a.m. in Room No. 1	l	
09/14/2016	PORSC Charge(s): 1 HEARING - ARRAIGNMENT H MARY KELLY, JUDGE	ELD ON 09/14/201	6			
	DA: ANGELA CANNON					
	Defendant Present in Court DEFENDANT INFORMED OF C Charge(s): 1	HARGES.	FTR	1		
051-12010	PLEA - NOT GUILTY ENTERE	D BY DEFENDANT	ΓΟΝ 09/14/2016			
09/14/2016	MOTION - MOTION FOR APPO	DINTMENT OF CNS	SL FILED BY DEFEN	DANT ON 09/14/2016		
09/14/2016	MOTION - MOTION FOR APPO	NINTMENT OF CNS	SL GRANTED ON 09/	14/2016		
	MARY KELLY, JUDGE					
	COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL					
09/14/2016	/2016 Party(s): STEVEN E ROBERTS					
	ATTORNEY - PARTIALLY IND	IGENT ORDERED	ON 09/14/2016			
09/14/2016	Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER HEARING - DISPOSITIONAL C	CONFERENCE SCH	EDULED FOR 11/29/2	2016 at 08:30 a.m. in Room No	, 7	
09/14/2016	Charge(s): 1 TRIAL - JURY TRIAL SCHEDU	LED FOR 01/23/20	17 at 08:30 a.m. in Roo	om No. 11		
11/29/2016	NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSE HEARING - DISPOSITIONAL C		.D ON 11/29/2016			
	ANDREW HORTON, JUSTICE Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER					
	DA: ANGELA CANNON					
	CONF HELD, OFFER MADE. TO BE SET FOR 12-22			SOLVED. MOTIONS MAY B	E FILED, HEARING	
CR-200	MOTION - OTHER MOTION FI		ANT ON 11/29/2016 1 of 5		Printed on: 03/24/2017	

11/30/2016

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER

MOTION TO CORRECT DEFENDANT'S DRIVER HISTORY CONVICTION

11/30/2016 MOTION - MOTION FOR FURTHER DISCOVERY FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 11/29/2016

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER

11/30/2016 MOTION - MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENT FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 11/29/2016

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER

11/30/2016 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENT SCHEDULED FOR 12/22/2016 at 01:00 p.m. in Room No. 1

NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL 11/30/2016 LETTER - REQUEST FOR PROTECTION FILED ON 11/30/2016

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER JANUARY 24, 2017 PRIOR TO 1:00 PM 12/02/2016 LETTER - FROM PARTY FILED ON 12/02/2016

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER COUNSEL WITHDRAWING REQUEST IN PARAGRAPH 5 OF MOTION FOR FURTHER DISCOVERY 12/15/2016 MOTION - MOTION TO CONTINUE FILED BY STATE ON 12/15/2016

STATES UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE MOTION TO SUPPRESS AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY. 12/16/2016 MOTION - MOTION TO CONTINUE GRANTED ON 12/16/2016 PAUL A FRITZSCHE, JUSTICE

COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

12/16/2016 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENT CONTINUED ON 12/16/2016 PAUL A FRITZSCHE , JUSTICE

12/16/2016 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE SCHEDULED FOR 01/12/2017 at 01:00 p.m. in Room No. 1

NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

12/16/2016 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE NOTICE SENT ON 12/16/2016

12/28/2016 LETTER - FROM PARTY FILED ON 12/28/2016

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER

CHANGE OF ADDRESS FROM ATTORNEY, NEW ADDRESS 75 PEARL STREET SUITE 460 PORTLAND ME, 01/09/2017 Charge(s): 1

MOTION - MOTION TO CONTINUE FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 01/09/2017

NO OBJ BY STATE

01/09/2017 Charge(s): 1

MOTION - MOTION TO CONTINUE GRANTED ON 01/09/2017

PAUL A FRITZSCHE, JUSTICE

COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

01/09/2017 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE CONTINUED ON 01/09/2017

PAUL A FRITZSCHE, JUSTICE

01/09/2017 Charge(s): 1

HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS SCHEDULED FOR 01/19/2017 at 01:00 p.m. in Room No. 1

NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

01/09/2017 Charge(s): 1

HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS NOTICE SENT ON 01/09/2017

01/17/2017 Charge(s): 1

MOTION - MOTION TO CONTINUE FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 01/17/2017

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER

MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON 1-19.

01/18/2017 Charge(s): 1

MOTION - MOTION TO CONTINUE GRANTED ON 01/18/2017

ROLAND A COLE, JUSTICE

COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

- 01/18/2017 Charge(s): 1 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS CONTINUED ON 01/18/2017 ROLAND A COLE, JUSTICE
- 01/18/2017 Charge(s): 1 TRIAL - JURY TRIAL CONTINUED ON 01/18/2017

01/18/2017 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS SCHEDULED FOR 02/02/2017 at 01:00 p.m. in Room No. 1

NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL 01/18/2017 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS NOTICE SENT ON 01/18/2017

02/06/2017 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS HELD ON 02/02/2017

JED FRENCH , JUDGE Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER

DA: ANGELA CANNON

Defendant Present in Court

HEARING HELD, ARGUMENTS MADE ON THE RECORD, MOTION FOR DISCOVERY GRANTED IN PART, MOTION TO SUPPRESS UNDER ADVISEMENT, BRIEFS TO BE SUBMITTED BY FRIDAY 2-10-17.

02/06/2017 TRIAL - JURY TRIAL SCHEDULED FOR 03/13/2017 at 08:30 a.m. in Room No. 11

NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

02/06/2017 TRIAL - JURY TRIAL NOTICE SENT ON 02/06/2017

02/06/2017 MOTION - OTHER MOTION FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 02/06/2017

MOTION FOR STATE PROVIDED TRANSPORTATION OR STAY OF PROSECUTION. STATE OBJECTS. 02/14/2017 MOTION - MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENT FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 02/06/2017

AND LIMINE.

02/14/2017 OTHER FILING - MEMORANDUM OF LAW FILED ON 02/10/2017

DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM RE: SUPPRESSION OF STATEMENTS EMTS. 02/15/2017 OTHER FILING - OTHER DOCUMENT FILED ON 02/10/2017

DA: MATTHEW TICE

STATE'S RESPONSE TO ARGUMENT DEFENDANT IS AFFORDED CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION FROM EMTS 02/21/2017 CASE STATUS - CASE FILE LOCATION ON 02/10/2017

JIM PAUL TURCOTTE , ASSISTANT CLERK CASE WITH JUDGE FRENCH RE: MOTION TO SUPPRESS

02/22/2017 MOTION - OTHER MOTION WITHDRAWN ON 02/21/2017

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER MOTION FOR STATE PROVIDED TRANSPORTATION OR STAY OF PROSECUTION. STATE OBJECTS. 02/22/2017 CASE STATUS - CASE FILE REFURNED ON 02/21/2017 02/22/2017 MOTION - MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENT DENIED ON 02/21/2017 JED FRENCH, JUDGE COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL 02/22/2017 MOTION - MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENT DENIED ON 02/21/2017 JED FRENCH, JUDGE COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL 02/22/2017 ORDER - COURT ORDER FILED ON 02/22/2017 JED FRENCH, JUDGE ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S SECOND MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS AND MOTION IN LIMINE. MOTION HEREBY DENIED. 02/28/2017 Charge(s): 1 MOTION - MOTION TO RECONSIDER FINDING FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 02/27/2017 03/03/2017 Charge(s): 1 MOTION - MOTION TO RECONSIDER FINDING GRANTED ON 03/02/2017 JED FRENCH, JUDGE COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL 03/03/2017 ORDER - COURT ORDER FILED ON 03/03/2017 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION IS GRANTED AND THIS MATTER SHALL BE SET FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING TO DEVELOP THE FACTUAL RECORD WITH RESPECT TO BOTH DEFENDANT'S SUPPRESSION MOTION AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE. 03/06/2017 TRIAL - JURY TRIAL CONTINUED ON 03/06/2017 PAUL A FRITZSCHE, JUSTICE 03/06/2017 HEARING - EVIDENTIARY HEARING SCHEDULED FOR 03/16/2017 at 01:00 p.m. in Room No. 1 NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL 03/06/2017 HEARING - EVIDENTIARY HEARING NOTICE SENT ON 03/06/2017 03/06/2017 TRIAL - JURY TRIAL SCHEDULED FOR 04/24/2017 at 08:30 a.m. in Room No. 11 NOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL 03/06/2017 TRIAL - JURY TRIAL NOTICE SENT ON 03/06/2017 03/17/2017 HEARING - EVIDENTIARY HEARING HELD ON 03/16/2017 JED FRENCH, JUDGE Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER DA: CARLOS DIAZ STATE CALLS CHRISTOPHER DEJARDINS, CROSS EXAMINATION. STATE CALLS DEPUTY WELSH, CROSS EXAMINATION, STATE'S EXHIBITS 1&2 OFFERED AND ADMITTED WITHOUT OBJECTION. DEFENSE CALLS STEVEN ROBERTS, CROSS EXAMINATION. CLOSING ARGUMENTS. ATTORNEY WINGER TO FOLLOW UP WITH FIR 1 ANY WRITTEN RESPONSE BY END OF DAY ON 3-17-17. 03/17/2017 MOTION - MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENT UNDER ADVISEMENT ON 03/16/2017 JED FRENCH, JUDGE 03/17/2017 CASE STATUS - CASE FILE LOCATION ON 03/16/2017

JUDGE FRENCH'S CHAMBERS

03/17/2017 OTHER FILING - MEMORANDUM OF LAW FILED ON 03/17/2017

Attorney: LAWRENCE WINGER 03/24/2017 ORDER - COURT ORDER FILED ON 03/23/2017

JED FRENCH, JUDGE DEFENDANT'S SECOND MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS AND MOTION IN LIMINE IS DENIED IN ITS ENTIMETY

A TRUE COPY ATTEST: **Clerk of Courts** Clerk