STATE OF MAINE CUMBERLAND, ss.

STATE OF MAINE,

v.

Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT
CRIMINAL ACTION
DOCKET NO. CR-07-1451

**ORDER & DECISION** 

ZACKARY PEARSON

Defendant



## **BACKGROUND**

The defendant was charged with four counts of robbery on June 13, 2007 and was indicted on July 6. The State filed a notice of joinder on July 6, joining Pearson's case with those of six other defendants who were also accused of being involved in the robbery. Pearson filed the present motion for relief from prejudicial joinder on September 17. For the reasons discussed below, the court denies the motion.

## **DISCUSSION**

While "the court must balance the general policy in favor of joint trials against the prejudice to a defendant which may result," the court is also afforded "substantial discretion" to grant or deny a motion to sever. *State v. Lakin*, 2006 ME 64, ¶¶ 7-8, 899 A.2d 777, 779. The burden is on the moving party "to show facts prior to trial that a joint trial would result in prejudice." *Id.* Here, the defendant has asserted that introduction of certain admissions made by his co-defendants would violate his constitutional right to confront the witnesses against him, as the United States Supreme Court decided in *Bruton v. U.S.*, 391 U.S. 123 (1968).

The Law Court recently discussed the *Bruton* holding in *Lakin*, and reiterated that when defendants are tried together in a single trial, an admission of a non-testifying

defendant that implicates a co-defendant may not be used. *Id.* n.2, 899 A.2d at 778. To do so violates the Confrontation Clause of the Sixth Amendment because the co-defendant is prevented from cross-examining a non-testifying defendant about the admission. *Id.* In these cases, it is appropriate to exclude or redact the admission, sever the trials, or empanel multiple juries. *Id.* ¶ 5, 899 A.2d at 778. The Law Court upheld the trial court's decision not to sever the trials of the two defendants, however, because the State had agreed not to use either of the inculpatory statements, and the presentation of mutually antagonistic defenses was not a ground for severance. *Id.* ¶¶ 5-13, 899 A.2d at 778-79.

In an earlier case, the Law Court discussed several post-Bruton decisions of the United States Supreme Court, and ultimately determined that even when a confession is redacted to exclude reference to a co-defendant, it may inappropriately implicate that co-defendant. *State v. Boucher*, 1998 ME 209, ¶¶ 11-16, 718 A.2d 1092, 1095-96. The Court acknowledged that "a fair deletion of all references, express or implied, to any other defendant is a proper and approved method of avoiding prejudice and the Bruton dilemma." *Id.* ¶ 12, 718 A.2d at 1095 (*quoting State v. Wing*, 294 A.2d 418, 422 (Me. 1972).) However, because testimony from witnesses indicated that four individuals were involved in the crime and the witnesses named three of the four participants, the deletion of the co-defendant from a defendant's confession was not enough to satisfy the requirements of Bruton, because the confession "obviously referred directly to [the codefendant]." *Id.* ¶ 16, 718 A.2d at 1096. Yet in spite of the Bruton violation, the Court affirmed the conviction because the inappropriately admitted confession was merely

cumulative, and "was entirely consistent with the rest of the State's evidence." *Id.*  $\P\P$  20-21, 718 A.2d at 1097. <sup>1</sup>

In this case, there were seven defendants initially charged with robbery. One defendant has already entered a plea and is available to testify. Three of the seven defendants, including the defendant who has entered a plea, gave statements to the police that implicate Pearson in the robbery. If the State sought to admit those statements into evidence through the law enforcement officer who took them, and not through the defendants who made them, Pearson's confrontation clause rights could be violated, as would those of every other defendant implicated by the statement who chooses not to testify. Redacting the statements to exclude any reference to the existence of any other defendant would be appropriate.

However, the mere possibility of a Bruton violation does not necessarily require severance (see footnote), and the State may choose to present its case in a way that does not present Bruton problems. Furthermore, the defendant's concerns about Bruton problems can be raised in a motion in limine or can be addressed at trial by the trial judge.

## **CONCLUSION**

The defendant's motion for relief from prejudicial joinder is DENIED.

DATED: November 13, 2007

Joyce A. Wheeler, Justice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is also important to note that the Court upheld the trial court's decision not to sever the trials because the defendant hadn't alleged anything other than the possibility of a Bruton violation. Id. ¶ 10, 718 A.2d at 1095. Although there was indeed a Bruton violation, it would have been possible for the State to present the confession in a way that didn't violate the co-defendant's rights. Id. The only other arguments that Pearson has made for severance are that the statements will directly contradict his defense theory, and that the statements would be inadmissible hearsay if the trials were severed. However, the Law Court has said that mutually antagonistic defenses are not grounds for severance. Lakin, 2006 ME 64, ¶ 12, 899 A.2d 780.

.... OF MAINE SUPERIOR COURT CUMBERLAND, ss. ACLUARY PEARSON Docket No PORSC-CR-2007-01451 FOX 1894 DOCKET RECORD F NUHAM MI. 04062 1438: 17/25/1988 A Control ROBERT LEBRASSEUR State's Attorney: STEPHANIE ANDERSON PRCLMAN & LEBRASSEUR, PC 482 CONGRESS ST. SUITE 300 PORTLAND ME 04101 APPOINTED 06/19/2007 Charge(s) ROBBERY 05/29/2007 SCARBOROUGH ವರ**q 4294 17-A 651(1)(E)** Class A ROBBERY 05/24/2007 SCARBOROUGH Bag 4294 17-A 651(1)(E) Class A Charged with COMPLAINT on Suppleme LOUSE / SCA 05/24/2007 SCARBOROUGH ROBBERY Bag 4884 17-A 651(1)(B)(1) Class B Charged with COMPLAINT on Suppleme ROUSE / SCA 05/24/2007 SCARBOROUGH ROBBERY 19, 1884 17-A 651(1)(B)(1) Class B Charged with COMPLAINT on Suppleme / SCA 10030 baket Events: . ... Edg Filling DOCUMENT - CASH BAIL BOND FILED ON 05/31/2007 701/2007 BAIL BOND - \$50,000.00 SURETY BAIL BOND FILED ON 05/31/2007 Ball Amt: \$50,000 Surety Type: SINGLE Surety Value: \$190,000 County Book ID: 25140 Book Page: 343 County: CUMBERLAND Ente Bailed: 05/29/2007 Prvdr Name: KELLY L PEARSON Lien Issued: 05/29/2007 Rtrn Name: KELLY L PEARSON Date Bailed: 05/29/2007 Lien Discharged: THIRD PARTY, SEE CONDITIONS. 4. 1967 Charge(s): 1 HEARING - INITIAL APPEARANCE SCHEDULED FOR 06/18/2007 @ 8:30 NUTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL // 29/ 4 Charge(s): 1,2,3,4 SUPPLEMENTAL FILING - COMPLAINT FILED ON 06/13/2007 210 ∟\_್ರಆ(ಽ): 1,2,3,4

MOTION - MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF CNSL FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 06/19/2007

Printed on: 11/19/2007

Page 1 of 4

Cracye(s): 1,2,3,4

DOCKET RECORD

MOTION - MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF CNSL GRANTED ON 06/19/2007

THOMAS E DELAHANTY II, JUSTICE

COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

DEFENDANT IS

PRESENTLY INDIGENT AND SHALL RETURN TO COURT ON OR BEFORE 7-31-07 TO BE REEXAMINED AS TO INDIGENCY. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE DEFENDANT SHALL SEEK EMPLOYMENT.

8/31/2007 Party(s): ZACKARY PEARSON

ATTORNEY - APPOINTED ORDERED ON 06/19/2007

Attorney: ROBERT LEBRASSEUR

77.2007 Charge(s): 1

HEARING - INITIAL APPEARANCE HELD ON 06/18/2007

JOYCE A WHEELER , JUSTICE

Reporter: KIMBERLY MCCULLOCH DA: MEGIN ELAM

Defendant Present in Court

SAME BAIL CONTINUED WITH ADDED CONDITIONS.

RS

120 1 BATE BOND - \$50,000.00 SURETY BAIL BOND CONTINUED AS POSTED ON 06/18/2007 JOYCE A WHEELER , JUSTICE

WITH ADDED CONDITIONS.

RS

COMPANY MEARING - STATUS CONFERENCE SCHEDULED FOR 08/27/2007 @ 11:00

.14,2007 Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

SUPPLEMENTAL FILING - INDICTMENT FILED ON 07/06/2007

1/ 1/1. HEARING - STATUS CONFERENCE NOT HELD ON 07/06/2007

DEFENDANT INDICTED.

RS

107/20 00 Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

HEARING - ARRAIGNMENT SCHEDULED FOR 08/27/2007 @ 11:00 in Room No. 7

WILLIAM BRODRICK , JUSTICE

RB

0.172 + Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

MEARING - ARRAIGNMENT NOTICE SENT ON 07/11/2007

. ARBER OTHER FILING - NOTICE OF JOINDER FILED BY STATE ON 07/06/2007

WOLL JOINED WITH CR07-1410, 07-1441, 07-1409, 07-1444, 07-1408, 07-1443.

% %, 2.397 Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

HEARING - ARRAIGNMENT HELD ON 08/27/2007

WILLIAM BRODRICK , JUSTICE

Autorney: HEATHER GONZALES

DA: MEGIN ELAM Reporter: JANETTE COOK

MeLendant Present in Court

REALING WAIVED. DEFENDANT INFORMED OF CHARGES. COPY OF INDICTMENT/INFORMATION GIVEN TO

DEFENDANT. 21 DAYS TO FILE MOTIONS. SAME BAIL CONTINUED.

200 | Change(s): 1,2,3,4

PLEA - NOT GUILTY ENTERED BY DEFENDANT ON 08/27/2007

11 + / DAIL BOND - \$50,000.00 SURETY BAIL BOND CONTINUED AS POSTED ON 08/27/2007

WILLIAM BRODRICK , JUSTICE

Page 2 of 4

Printed on: 11/19/2000

ALREADY POSTED. WITH CONDITIONS.

09/04/2007 TRIAL - DOCKET CALL SCHEDULED FOR 02/22/2008 @ 8:30 in Room No. 11

ROLAND A COLE , JUSTICE

0.0

1/0000 MOTION - MOTION FOR FUNDS FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 08/03/2007

BARTE

10 RATION - MOTION FOR FUNDS GRANTED ON 08/07/2007

WILLIAM BRODRICK , JUSTICE

COPY TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

EX-PARTE

RS

TOTAL AMOUNT APPROVED FOR A

PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR \$500.00.

MRF

m/ 4/2007 Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

TRIAL - DOCKET CALL SCHEDULED FOR 02/08/2008 @ 8:30 in Room No. 11

ROLAND A COLE , JUSTICE

x > 1 Thange(s): 1,2,3,4

MOTION - MOTION TO SUPPRESS FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 09/14/2007

... Shor' Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

MOTION - MOTION RELIEF PREJUDICIAL JOIN FILED BY DEFENDANT ON 09/14/2007

1/2 /23.3 HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS SCHEDULED FOR 11/06/2007 @ 2:00 in Room No. 7

MOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

STATE OF THE ARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS NOTICE SENT ON 09/28/2007

0.3\*/7037 Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

HEAPING - MOTION RELIEF PREJUDICIAL JOIN SCHEDULED FOR 11/06/2007 @ 2:00 in Room No. 7

· Charte(s): 1,2,3,4

HEARING - MOTION RELIEF PREJUDICIAL JOIN NOTICE SENT ON 09/28/2007

HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS CONTINUED ON 11/06/2007

JOYCE A WHEELER , JUSTICE

Attorney: ROBERT LEBRASSEUR

DA: JULIA SHERIDAN Reporter: PENNY PHILBRICK-CARVER

Defendant Present in Court

FOR 1/2 HOUR HEARING

TSK

. . . HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS SCHEDULED FOR 11/21/2007 @ 1:00 in Room No. 7

MOTICE TO PARTIES/COUNSEL

TSK

THE AFTING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS NOTICE SENT ON 11/15/2007

TRACTA KELLEY , ASSISTANT CLERK-E

1 (faige(s): 1,2,3,4

MOTION - MOTION RELIEF PREJUDICIAL JOIN UNDER ADVISEMENT ON 11/06/2007

SOMMER A WHEELER , JUSTICE

MF

... ' ' Charge(s): 1,2,3,4

HE RENG - MOTION RELIEF PREJUDICIAL JOIN NOT HELD ON 11/06/2007

UN FOR A WHEELER , JUSTICE

Attorney: ROBERT LEBRASSEUR

Page 3 of 4

Printed on: 11/19/2007

ZACKARY PEARSON
PORSC-CR-2007-01451
DOCKET RECORD

	DA: JULIA SHERIDAN Rep Defendant Present in Court	orter: PENNY	PHILBRICK-CARVER
n pany	PSK HEARING - MOTION TO SUPPRESS NOT	HELD ON 11/1	5/2007
	MUTHORAWN BY PHONE		
161.0	(hausa (a) 1.2.2.4		MRP
4.7.272337	Charge(s): 1,2,3,4 MOTION - MOTION TO SUPPRESS WITH	DRAWN ON 11/1	5/2007
	BY PHONE		
	Charge(s): 1,2,3,4 MOTION - MOTION RELIEF PREJUDICI JUNCE A WHEELER , JUDGE	AL JOIN DENIE	MRP O ON 11/14/2007
And American	7		
701 AT: _			
	Clerk		

Page 4 of 4 Printed on: 11/19/20: